




La revue *Aleph. langues, médias et sociétés* est approuvée par ERIHPLUS. Elle est classée à la catégorie B.

Spatial Politics and Ecological Resistance in Dan Simmons's *Hyperion Cantos* : Reading Capitalist Hegemony

السياسة المكانية والمقاومة البيئية في رواية « هايبريون كانتوس » لدان سيمونز: قراءة الهيمنة الرأسمالية

Politique spatiale et résistance écologique dans *Hyperion Cantos* de Dan Simmons : lecture de l'hégémonie capitaliste

Haider Mansouri - Université Ali lounici -Blida 2

	Soumission	Publication numérique	Publication Asjp
	03-02-2025	19-05-2024	05-11-2025

Éditeur : Edile (Edition et diffusion de l'écrit scientifique)

Dépôt légal : 6109-2014

Edition numérique : <https://aleph.edinum.org>

Date de publication : 05 novembre 2025

ISSN : 2437-1076

(Edition ASJP) : <https://www.asjp.cerist.dz/en/PresentationRevue/226>

Date de publication : 19 mai 2025

Pagination : 131-146

ISSN : 2437-0274

Référence électronique

Haider Mansouri, « Spatial Politics and Ecological Resistance in Dan Simmons's *Hyperion Cantos* : Reading Capitalist Hegemony », *Aleph* [En ligne], Vol 12 (4) | 2025, mis en ligne le 19 mai 2025. URL : <https://aleph.edinum.org/14926>

Référence papier

Haider Mansouri, « Spatial Politics and Ecological Resistance in Dan Simmons's *Hyperion Cantos* : Reading Capitalist Hegemony », *Aleph*, Vol 12 (4) | 2025, 131-146.

Spatial Politics and Ecological Resistance in Dan Simmons's *Hyperion Cantos* : Reading Capitalist Hegemony

السياسة المكانية والمقاومة البيئية في رواية « هايبريون كانتوس » لدان سيمونز : قراءة الهيمنة الرأسمالية

Politique spatiale et résistance écologique dans *Hyperion Cantos* de Dan Simmons : lecture de l'hégémonie capitaliste

HAIDER MANSOURI

UNIVERSITÉ ALI LOUNICI -BLIDA 2

Introduction

Dan Simmons's *Hyperion Cantos* has long been celebrated for its intricate narrative, richly imagined future, and deep engagement with themes of imperial power, technology, and environmental degradation. In *Hyperion* (1989) and *The Fall of Hyperion* (1990), Simmons constructs a universe where space is not simply a passive arena for action but a dynamic terrain manipulated by the Hegemony—a sprawling imperial entity that harnesses advanced technology to impose order over the cosmos. Yet within this meticulously engineered universe, several elements emerge as sites of resistance. The Ousters, the enigmatic Time Tombs, and the fearsome Shrike stand in opposition to the imposed order, offering a counterpoint to the Hegemony's capitalist spatial control.

This article examines these dynamics through a triadic theoretical framework drawn from Henri Lefebvre's theory of the production of space, David Harvey's analysis of capitalist spatial restructuring, and Rob Nixon's concept of slow violence. Our hypothesis is that Simmons's portrayal of space is not merely metaphorical or narrative, but deeply ideological, revealing how imperial modernity enacts spatial domination—and how ecological and communal forces resist it from the margins. Drawing on textual analysis of key episodes in the *Hyperion Cantos*, we aim to show how space operates as an arena of political conflict, technological control, and environmental subversion.

While earlier scholars such as Fredric Jameson (2005) have explored science fiction as a cognitive mapping of late capitalism, and Ursula

Heise (2008) has examined the tension between global connectivity and ecological rootedness, Simmons's work uniquely blends those dimensions in a richly allegorical universe. Although relatively understudied in critical ecocriticism, *The Hyperion Cantos* resonates strongly with posthumanist and geocritical approaches developed by scholars such as Donna Haraway and Sherryl Vint, situating Simmons's epic as both a speculative narrative and a critique of spatial and environmental violence.

In addressing these questions, the article contributes to contemporary debates in literary and spatial studies. It also challenges the assumption that technological progress and economic expansion are synonymous with human advancement and ecological well-being. By critically engaging with Simmons's text, this study reveals that the reclamation of space by nature and marginalized communities offers an urgent counter-narrative—a call to reimagine progress beyond the confines of capitalist exploitation.

1. Theoretical Framework

At the heart of this analysis lies the understanding that space is not neutral; it is a contested domain where power, ideology, and ecology intersect. Henri Lefebvre's *The Production of Space* (1991) famously argues that “space is a social product” (Lefebvre, 1991, p. 16), a concept that provides a foundation for reading the Hegemony's technological mastery in the *Hyperion Cantos*. In Simmons's narrative, devices such as the farcaster network are not mere conveniences but serve as tools of spatial and ideological domination, imposing a uniform, commodified order over diverse planetary environments. This reflects what Lefebvre calls “conceived space”—that is, space designed and implemented by dominant institutions—a concept central to understanding the Hegemony's ambitions.

Complementing Lefebvre's ideas, David Harvey's work, particularly his discussion in *Spaces of Capital* (2001), highlights how capitalism “restructures space to serve its own ends” (Harvey, 2001, p. 52). Harvey's perspective reveals that natural landscapes are transformed into economic resources; planets become profit centers rather than places of intrinsic ecological or cultural value. In the *Hyperion Cantos*, the systematic reconfiguration of planetary ecologies mirrors this capitalist logic, whereby environmental

and social costs of expansion are rendered secondary to economic gain. This process exemplifies what Fredric Jameson (2005) describes as the “cognitive mapping” of capitalist totality through narrative and spatial reordering, a hallmark of late capitalist science fiction.

Rob Nixon’s concept of slow violence, as articulated in *Slow Violence and the Environmentalism of the Poor* (2011), introduces a critical temporal dimension to environmental degradation. Nixon contends that slow violence “occurs gradually and out of sight” (Nixon, 2011, p. 3), a perspective that resonates with the long-term ecological decay observed in the novels. In Simmons’s universe, the degradation of natural environments under the Hegemony’s exploitative regime unfolds not in dramatic bursts but as a cumulative, often imperceptible process—a process that eventually leads to a violent reassertion of natural power. This slow buildup of ecological disruption links Simmons’s fiction to what Ursula K. Heise (2008) identifies as the global imaginary of environmental crisis—where planetary systems are both abstracted and exploited by transnational forces.

Together, these perspectives contribute to an ecopolitical reading of Simmons’s universe. Lefebvre helps us understand the deliberate production of space, Harvey exposes the capitalist imperatives driving this transformation, and Nixon reveals the enduring, often hidden impact of ecological destruction. Additionally, scholars such as Istvan Csicsery-Ronay Jr. (2008) and Darko Suvin (1979) help situate *Hyperion* within a broader framework of science fiction as a critical discourse of spatial and systemic imagination. The *novum*, in Suvin’s terms, emerges here through the farcaster, the Shrike, and the Time Tombs—devices that defamiliarize space and rupture imperial continuity. These theoretical tools allow us to recognize how the Ousters, the Time Tombs, and the Shrike function as critical sites of resistance—spaces where nature, memory, and communal practice challenge the hegemonic imposition of capitalist order.

2. Constructed Hegemonic Space in the *Hyperion Cantos*

Central to the Hegemony’s strategy is its deliberate engineering of space, a process that transforms the cosmos into a series of neatly controlled nodes. In *Hyperion* (1989), Simmons introduces the farcaster network as a technological marvel that reduces the vastness of space to a series of calculable, manageable units. He writes :

“The farcaster... had transformed distance into a triviality, reducing the immeasurable void of space into a series of neatly ordered nodes under the absolute control of the Hegemony” (*Hyperion*, 1989, p. 45).

This passage illustrates Lefebvre's concept of “conceived space,” where the Hegemony's vision is imposed on the natural world. The farcaster network serves not only as a means of instantaneous travel but also as an instrument of imperial control and spatial commodification, standardizing space in accordance with the Hegemony's economic and political imperatives. Such totalizing structures echo what Fredric Jameson (2005) identifies as the ideological function of science fiction in mapping systems of late capitalism.

The reconfiguration of planetary landscapes into assets is further underscored by the Hegemony's systematic exploitation of resources. Open access scholarly articles on spatial theory—accessible via databases such as CORE and DOAJ—affirm that the conversion of natural environments into economic commodities is a hallmark of capitalist modernity. David Harvey (2001) asserts that capitalism “restructures space to serve its own ends” (p. 52), a dynamic vividly enacted in the Hegemony's approach to planetary management. In one particularly grim description from *Hyperion* (1989, p. 79), Simmons writes :

“Once, this world had thrived with life ; now it lay scarred and barren, its rich soils stripped bare, as if nature itself had been eroded by the relentless march of industrial conquest.”

Here, the transformation of a vibrant ecosystem into a depopulated resource mirrors the systematic spatial restructuring described by Harvey. It also aligns with Ursula Heise's (2008) critique of global environmental imaginaries that abstract ecosystems into units of value within planetary-scale capitalism. The Hegemony's empire is thus built upon a foundation of environmental and social dispossession—a legacy of engineered space that is both technologically sophisticated and ruthlessly pragmatic. This reflects what Donna Haraway (2016) critiques as the “Plantationocene” logic—an extractive, totalizing worldview that erases ecological multiplicity in favor of instrumental control.

3. Ecological Resistance as Spatial Subversion

Where the Hegemony's imposition of order meets its limits, ecological resistance finds expression. This resistance is articulated most powerfully through the Ousters, whose way of life exemplifies Lefebvre's notion of "lived space." In *The Fall of Hyperion* (1990), an Ouster leader proclaims :

"We have no need for the trappings of empire ; our home is the raw, untamed frontier, where every stone and stream speaks the language of survival" (*The Fall of Hyperion*, 1990, p. 112).

This extended quotation captures the Ousters' rejection of the commodified, standardized spaces imposed by the Hegemony. Their existence on the margins is not accidental ; it is a deliberate, embodied practice of reclaiming space from the imperial order. The Ousters' communal life, rooted in adaptability and a deep connection to the land, directly contests the imposed order. Their territories, by remaining fluid and self-regulated, stand in stark opposition to the controlled nodes of the farcaster network. This aligns with Donna Haraway's (2016) call to "stay with the trouble"—to cultivate interdependent, non-hierarchical relationships within damaged ecosystems. It also resonates with Sherryl Vint's (2010) view that science fiction can dramatize the potential of alternative, post-anthropocentric modes of being.

In addition to the Ousters, the Time Tombs represent another profound challenge to hegemonic spatial control. Simmons's description of the Tombs in *Hyperion* (1989) is both lyrical and unsettling :

"In the shifting light, the Time Tombs rose from the surface like relics of a forgotten era, their surfaces alive with an eerie, temporal flux—a dance of shadows that whispered of futures unbound by the tyranny of the present" (*Hyperion*, 1989, p. 178).

The Time Tombs are not merely abandoned relics ; they are active sites of resistance. Their defiance of linear time and ordered space suggests the presence of an alternative cosmology—one that escapes the confines of capitalist exploitation. The Tombs embody a natural force that disrupts the Hegemony's carefully orchestrated order, echoing Nixon's insights on slow violence. In Suvin's (1979) terms, they function as a *novum*—a

cognitively estranging element that ruptures normative spacetime, enabling the imagination of new temporalities beyond imperial logic. The cumulative, gradual impact of environmental degradation eventually erupts in these anomalous spaces, challenging the assumed permanence of imperial control.

Complementing the Time Tombs is the figure of the Shrike, whose intermittent appearances are both terrifying and emblematic of nature's retributive power. In *The Fall of Hyperion* (1990), Simmons offers a vivid description :

“In a burst of incandescent fury, the Shrike emerged—a spectral arbiter of retribution whose every strike carved a fissure in the fabric of the Hegemony’s constructed reality”
(*The Fall of Hyperion*, 1990, p. 205).

This passage not only evokes the horror of the Shrike but also encapsulates Nixon's notion of slow violence made manifest. The creature's sudden, violent interventions are the explosive culmination of long-standing ecological ruptures. The Shrike does not operate according to the logic of the farcaster or the profit-driven calculus of the Hegemony ; it is the embodiment of nature's refusal to be completely subdued. Its actions echo Haraway's vision of multispecies resistance to systemic domination, a reminder that agency may emerge from the nonhuman. Its presence forces a reckoning with the hidden costs of imperial expansion, serving as a dramatic reminder that environmental degradation, however gradual, eventually yields its own form of resistance.

4. Intersections and Implications : A Synthesis of Resistance

The tensions between imposed order and ecological resistance in the *Hyperion Cantos* provide a rich field for interdisciplinary inquiry. As open access studies in literary and spatial criticism suggest, the margins of any hegemonic system are precisely where its vulnerabilities are revealed. In Simmons's narrative, these margins are inhabited by the Ousters, punctuated by the Time Tombs, and violently disrupted by the Shrike. This synthesis of resistance underscores a central paradox: while the Hegemony projects an image of technological omnipotence and economic mastery, it is constantly undermined by the very forces of

nature and community that it seeks to control. These marginal spaces function as what Michel Foucault might call “heterotopias”—counter-sites that subvert dominant spatial orders while revealing their fragility.

A reflective moment in *Hyperion* (1989) crystallizes this tension:

“What is the measure of progress if, in our relentless pursuit of control, we forsake the wild, the unpredictable, the enduring spirit of the natural world? In the quiet rebellion of the outcast, in the enduring pulse of untamed lands, there lies a promise far greater than that of an empire built on consumption” (*Hyperion*, 1989, p. 230).

This passage not only encapsulates the thematic conflict at the heart of the series but also challenges us to question the assumptions underlying modernity itself. The Hegemony’s spatial technologies and economic structures, while formidable, are revealed to be inherently unstable when confronted with the slow, persistent force of ecological resistance. This reflects Fredric Jameson’s (2005) assertion that science fiction can function as a “political unconscious,” staging ideological contradictions and exposing the unsustainability of imperial narratives. The interplay of Lefebvre’s, Harvey’s, and Nixon’s theories provides a compelling framework for understanding this instability. The capitalist restructuring of space and the accompanying environmental degradation eventually create fissures through which alternative, more sustainable modes of existence emerge. As Ursula Heise (2008) and Donna Haraway (2016) argue, such alternatives are often rooted not in rupture but in recomposition—through relational, posthuman, and ecological ways of imagining futurity.

The broader implications of this analysis extend beyond the fictional universe of the *Hyperion Cantos*. In our own era, characterized by rapid technological change and environmental crisis, the struggle to reclaim space—both physical and metaphorical—takes on renewed urgency. The texts invite us to envision a future in which progress is measured not by the efficiency of technological systems but by the resilience and vitality of natural and communal spaces. This synthesis of resistance, as articulated in Simmons’s work, challenges prevailing narratives of development and suggests that a more just and sustainable future might be forged at the margins of imperial order. It affirms science fiction’s critical role not only as speculative entertainment, but as a genre uniquely equipped to interrogate and reimagine systems of power, space, and ecology.

Conclusion

Dan Simmons's *Hyperion Cantos* offers a multifaceted critique of imperial modernity, revealing how the production and control of space are deeply intertwined with capitalist exploitation and ecological degradation. The Hegemony's technological prowess—exemplified by the farcaster network and its systematic reordering of planetary environments—is persistently contested by forces of ecological resistance. Through the lived spaces of the Ousters, the temporal disruptions of the Time Tombs, and the violent, unpredictable emergence of the Shrike, Simmons crafts a narrative that questions the very possibility of absolute control. In doing so, the narrative aligns with posthumanist visions that decenter anthropocentric authority and open the way for multispecies futures, as advocated by thinkers such as Donna Haraway.

Drawing on the theories of Lefebvre, Harvey, and Nixon, this article has shown that the spatial politics of the *Hyperion Cantos* are not static but are characterized by a dynamic interplay between domination and resistance. The Hegemony's attempt to commodify and regulate space ultimately exposes its vulnerabilities, as the slow violence of environmental degradation and the reclamation of space by marginalized communities become irrepressible forces. These ruptures offer what Fredric Jameson (2005) calls cognitive alternatives—ways of imagining systemic transformation through speculative fiction. In the end, Simmons's work serves as a call to reimagine progress—one that values ecological balance and communal resilience over the relentless drive for profit and control.

In a world increasingly marked by technological ambition and environmental crisis, the lessons of the *Hyperion Cantos* resonate with urgent clarity. The reclamation of space, both in the narrative and in our own lived experience, remains an open possibility—a challenge to the assumptions of imperial modernity and a hopeful vision of ecological justice. This reimagining of space—as a terrain of memory, resistance, and relational coexistence—confirms science fiction's ability not only to critique hegemonic systems but to model alternative futures. As this analysis has demonstrated, the spatial politics of the *Hyperion Cantos* offer not only a critique of the present but also a blueprint for imagining a future where nature and humanity might coexist in a more equitable and sustainable harmony.

Bibliography

- Haraway, D. J. (2016). *Staying with the trouble: Making kin in the Chthulucene*. Duke University Press.
- Harvey, D. (2001). *Spaces of capital: Towards a critical geography*. Edinburgh University Press.
- Heise, U. K. (2008). *Sense of place and sense of planet: The environmental imagination of the global*. Oxford University Press.
- Jameson, F. (2005). *Archaeologies of the future: The desire called utopia and other science fictions*. Verso.
- Lefebvre, H. (1991). *The production of space* (D. Nicholson-Smith, Trans.). Blackwell. (Original work published 1974)
- Nixon, R. (2011). *Slow violence and the environmentalism of the poor*. Harvard University Press.
- Simmons, D. (1989). *Hyperion*. Doubleday.
- Simmons, D. (1990). *The Fall of Hyperion*. Doubleday.
- Vint, S. (2010). *Animal alterity: Science fiction and the question of the animal*. Liverpool University Press.

Abstract

This article offers a critical reading of Dan Simmons's *The Hyperion Cantos* through an interdisciplinary framework combining the theories of Henri Lefebvre, David Harvey, and Rob Nixon. It investigates how the Hegemony—a fictional imperial entity—reconfigures space according to capitalist logic, transforming planetary environments into homogenized networks of technopolitical control. The analysis draws on the concepts of “conceived space,” “capitalist spatial restructuring,” and “slow violence.” In contrast to this imposed order, forms of ecological and communal resistance emerge through the Ousters, the Time Tombs, and the Shrike, all of which disrupt hegemonic spatial control. The article highlights the tension between imperial modernity and ecological reclamation, suggesting broader implications for understanding the spatial politics of our own era. Far from being a mere science-fictional backdrop, space in Simmons's narrative becomes a contested terrain where capitalist domination and natural resilience collide.

Keywords

science fiction, ecocriticism, spatial politics, Dan Simmons, Lefebvre, capitalism, slow violence

ملخص

يقدم هذا المقال قراءة نقدية لسلسلة The Hyperion Cantos للكاتب دان سيمونز، من خلال إطار نظري متعدد التخصصات يجمع بين أفكار هنري لوفيفر، ديفيد هارفي، وروب نيكسون. يدرس المقال كيف تقوم "الهيمنة"—وهي كيان إمبريالي خيالي—بإعادة تشكيل الفضاء وفق منطق رأسمالي، مما يحول البيئات الكوكبية إلى شبكات متجانسة تخضع للسيطرة التقنية والسياسية. يتم تحليل هذه الديناميات باستخدام مفاهيم مثل "الفضاء المصمّم"، "إعادة الهيكلة المكانية الرأسمالية"، و"العنف البطيء". في مواجهة هذا النظام المفروض، تظهر أشكال من المقاومة البيئية والمجتمعية تتجلى في شعب الأوسترز، وقبور الزمن، والكائن الشريك، والتي تعرقل السيطرة المكانية الهيمينية. يبرز المقال التوتر بين الحدائث الإمبريالية واستعادة الطبيعة للفضاء، مشيراً إلى دلالات معاصرة تتعلق بالصراع بين السيطرة الرأسمالية والمقاومة البيئية.

كلمات مفتاحية

الكلمات المفتاحية: الخيال العلمي، النقد البيئي، جغرافيا الفضاء، دان سيمونز، لوفيفر، الرأسمالية، العنف البطيء

Résumé

Cet article propose une lecture critique de *The Hyperion Cantos* de Dan Simmons à travers une approche théorique interdisciplinaire mobilisant les travaux d'Henri Lefebvre, David Harvey et Rob Nixon. L'étude explore la manière dont l'Hégémonie, entité impériale fictive, restructure l'espace selon une logique capitaliste, en transformant les environnements planétaires en réseaux homogènes au service du contrôle technopolitique. Ce processus est analysé à la lumière des concepts d'"espace conçu", de "restructuration spatiale capitaliste" et de "violence lente". Face à cette domination, émergent des formes de résistance écologique et communautaire incarnées par les Ousters, les Tombes du Temps et le Shrike, qui subvertissent l'ordre spatial imposé. L'article met en évidence la tension entre modernité impériale et réappropriation écologique, et propose une réflexion sur les implications contemporaines de cette dynamique. Loin d'être un simple décor de science-fiction, l'espace devient ici un champ conflictuel où s'affrontent domination capitaliste et résilience naturelle.

Mots-clés

science-fiction, écocritique, géopolitique de l'espace, Dan Simmons, Lefebvre, capitalisme, violence lente